

Variational inequalities for the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup

P. Ciatti

(joint work with V. Casarino and P. Sjögren)

Università degli Studi di Padova

Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia

9 Settembre 2025

Introduction

Let μ be a measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\{S_t\}_{t>0}$ a semigroup of operators such that $t \mapsto S_t f$ is continuous μ -almost everywhere for any measurable function f .

To study pointwise convergence, for instance the existence of $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} S_t f(x)$, we usually follow a two step procedure:

1. Establish a weak type inequality:

$$\mu\left(\left\{x : \sup_{t>0} |S_t f(x)| > \alpha\right\}\right) \lesssim_p \frac{\|f\|_p^p}{\alpha^p},$$

(which ensures that the space $\mathcal{L}^p$ of L^p -functions for which the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} S_t f$ exists μ -almost everywhere is closed in $L^p(\mu)$).

2. Determine a class $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}^p$ of functions which is dense in $L^p(\mu)$.

Variation seminorm

We will discuss a couple of tools which allows us to handle pointwise convergence more quantitatively.

Let $1 \leq \varrho < \infty$. For any function ϕ defined on $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$ the variation seminorm $\|\phi\|_{v(\varrho)}$ is defined by

$$\|\phi\|_{v(\varrho)} := \sup \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |\phi(t_i) - \phi(t_{i-1})|^\varrho \right)^{1/\varrho},$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite, increasing sequences $\{t_i\}_0^N$ in $\mathbb{R}_+$. This is a seminorm and vanishes only on constant functions.

Given a function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, define

$$\phi_{f,x}(t) = S_t f(x), \quad t > 0,$$

and apply to $\phi_{f,x}$ the above definition, obtaining a function mapping x to

$$\|S_\bullet f(x)\|_{v(\varrho)} = \sup \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |S_{t_i} f(x) - S_{t_{i-1}} f(x)|^\varrho \right)^{1/\varrho},$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite increasing sequences $\{t_i\}_0^N \subset \mathbb{R}_+$.

An important property of the function $x \mapsto \|S_\bullet f(x)\|_{v(\varrho)}$ is that if for some x we have $\|S_\bullet f(x)\|_{v(\varrho)} < \infty$, then the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} S_t f(x)$ exists (this observation was popularised by J. Bourgain).

For this reason we look for variational inequalities, that is weak (or strong) type inequalities for $f \mapsto \|S_\bullet f(\cdot)\|_{v(\varrho)}$,

$$\mu\left(\{x : \|S_\bullet f(x)\|_{v(\varrho)} > \alpha\}\right) \lesssim_p \frac{\|f\|_p^p}{\alpha^p}.$$

From now on, S_t will be the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup.

The Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup

This is the semigroup $(\mathcal{H}_t)_{t>0}$ generated by the elliptic operator

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(Q \nabla^2) + \langle Bx, \nabla \rangle,$$

called the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator. Here ∇ is the gradient and ∇^2 the Hessian matrix. Moreover,

- Q is a real, symmetric and positive definite $d \times d$ matrix, called the covariance of $\mathcal{L}$;
- B is a real $d \times d$ matrix whose eigenvalues have negative real parts.

$\mathcal{H}_t$ is defined as the semigroup generated by $\mathcal{L}$,

$$\mathcal{H}_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}}, \quad t > 0.$$

For each $t > 0$, $\mathcal{H}_t$ is an integral operator. It is well known that there exists a measure γ_∞ which is invariant under the action of $\mathcal{H}_t$, that is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{H}_t f(x) d\gamma_\infty(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\gamma_\infty(x) \quad \text{for all } f \text{ and } t > 0.$$

This measure, which is unique up to a positive factor, is given by

$$d\gamma_\infty(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (\det Q_\infty)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \langle Q_\infty^{-1} x, x \rangle\right) dx,$$

where Q_∞ is the positive matrix given by

$$Q_\infty = \int_0^\infty e^{sB} Q e^{sB^*} ds.$$

Then, for each $f \in L^1(\gamma_\infty)$ and all $t > 0$ one has

$$\mathcal{H}_t f(x) = \int K_t(x, u) f(u) d\gamma_\infty(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where

$$K_t(x, u) = \left(\frac{\det Q_\infty}{\det Q_t} \right)^{1/2} e^{\langle Q_\infty^{-1} x, x \rangle / 2} \\ \times \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \langle (Q_t^{-1} - Q_\infty^{-1}) (u - D_t x), u - D_t x \rangle \right]$$

is the [Mehler kernel](#). Here

- $Q_t = \int_0^t e^{sB} Q e^{sB^*} ds, \quad 0 < t \leq \infty;$
- $D_t = Q_\infty e^{-tB^*} Q_\infty^{-1}.$

The Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator $\mathcal{L}$ and the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup $\mathcal{H}_t$ play the role of the Laplacian and of the heat semigroup in $\mathbb{R}^d$ if the Lebesgue measure dx is replaced by $d\gamma_\infty$.

In general, the semigroup $\mathcal{H}_t$ is not self-adjoint, (not even normal), and to study its properties we cannot rely on the spectral theorem. Since, for each $t > 0$, $\mathcal{H}_t$ is an integral operator, our analysis is instead based on the properties of the Mehler kernel.

Recently, V. Almeida, J. J. Betancor, J. C. Farina, P. Quijano and L. Rodriguez-Mesa in *Littlewood–Paley functions associated with general Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroups*, proved the following result:

Theorem: For each $\varrho > 2$ and $1 < p < \infty$, the operator mapping $f \in L^p(\gamma_\infty)$ to

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\bullet f(x)\|_{v(\varrho)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where the $v(\varrho)$ seminorm is taken in the variable t , is bounded from $L^p(\gamma_\infty)$ to $L^p(\gamma_\infty)$.

A bit of history: In fact, in 2001 R. L. Jones and K. Reinhold proved that for $\varrho > 2$ the variation operator of any symmetric diffusion semigroup is bounded on L^p for $1 < p < \infty$. Ten years later C. Le Merdy and Q. Xu extended this result to a non-symmetric context. Almeida et al. applied the latter result in the Gaussian, non-symmetric framework, that we are discussing.

We completed the picture proving the endpoint result:

Theorem

For each $\varrho > 2$ the operator that maps $f \in L^1(\gamma_\infty)$ to the function

$$\mathbb{R}^d \ni x \mapsto \|\mathcal{H}_\bullet f(x)\|_{v(\varrho)}$$

is of weak type $(1, 1)$ with respect to the measure γ_∞ .

For $1 \leq \varrho \leq 2$ the assertion is false.

We have to prove the inequality

$$\gamma_\infty(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\mathcal{H}_\bullet f(x)\|_{v(\varrho), \mathbb{R}_+} > \alpha\}) \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{L^1(\gamma_\infty)}}{\alpha}$$

for all $\alpha > 0$ and all $f \in L^1(\gamma_\infty)$.

We first proved it for $d = 1$ with a method which seems hard to adapt to the case $d > 1$ (Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (2024)).

Then recently, with a different method, we proved this estimate also for $d > 1$ (to appear in J. Geom. Anal.)).

Anyway, in order to avoid some technicalities, we shall present the recent proof (that holding for all $d \geq 1$) in the one dimensional case.

If $d = 1$ the semigroup is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_t f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_t(x, u) f(u) d\gamma_{\infty}(u), \quad t > 0,$$

where $f \in L^1(\gamma_{\infty})$, K_t is the Mehler kernel

$$K_t(x, u) = \frac{e^{x^2/2}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(e^{-t}u - x)^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right), \quad (x, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},$$

and $d\gamma_{\infty}(u) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-u^2/2) du$.

Let $\varrho > 2$. The proof of

$$\gamma_\infty(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \|\mathcal{H}_\bullet f(x)\|_{v(\varrho)} > \alpha\}) \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^1(\gamma_\infty)},$$

is divided into several steps. First of all we distinguish between small and large t .

The reason to distinguish between small and large times is due to the different behaviour of the Mehler kernel in these two cases.

In addition, when t is small we shall need another (spatial) distinction, between local and global regions. We start discussing the case $t \geq 1$.

The case of large t

The easiest case is the variation of $\mathcal{H}_t f(x)$ for $1 \leq t < \infty$.

Proposition

For each $\varrho \geq 1$ the operator that maps $f \in L^1(\gamma_\infty)$ to the function

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\bullet f(x)\|_{v(\varrho), [1, +\infty)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is of weak type $(1, 1)$ with respect to the measure γ_∞ . In fact, one has the following stronger result:

$$\gamma_\infty \left(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \|\mathcal{H}_\bullet f(x)\|_{v(\varrho), [1, \infty)} > \alpha\} \right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{\log \alpha}} \|f\|_{L^1(\gamma_\infty)}, \quad \alpha > 2.$$

This estimate, which is enhanced by a logarithmic factor, is optimal. An analogous phenomenon was already observed both for the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck maximal operator and for the Gaussian Riesz transform.

Proof:

Remark: If $\phi \in C^1(I)$ and $\phi' \in L^1(I)$, then $\|\phi\|_{v(\varrho),I} < \infty$; in fact,

$$\|\phi\|_{v(\varrho),I} \leq \int_I |\dot{\phi}(t)| dt.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_\bullet f(x)\|_{v(\varrho),[1,\infty)} &\leq \int_1^\infty |\partial_t \mathcal{H}_t f(x)| dt \\ &\leq \int_1^\infty \left| \partial_t \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_t(x,u) f(u) d\gamma_\infty(u) \right| dt \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left(\int_1^\infty |\dot{K}_t(x,u)| dt \right) |f(u)| d\gamma_\infty(u). \end{aligned}$$

We are thus reduced to bound the integral

$$\int_1^\infty |\dot{K}_t(x, u)| dt.$$

From the expression of the Mehler kernel

$$K_t(x, u) = \frac{e^{x^2/2}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(e^{-t}u - x)^2}{1 - e^{-2t}}\right), \quad (x, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},$$

it is easy to deduce

$$\int_1^\infty |\dot{K}_t(x, u)| dt \lesssim e^{x^2/2}.$$

The last bound implies

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\bullet f(x)\|_{v(\varrho),[1,\infty)} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_1^\infty |\dot{K}_t(x, u)| dt \right) |f(u)| d\gamma_\infty(u) \lesssim e^{x^2/2} \|f\|_1.$$

Since

$$\gamma_\infty \left(\{x : e^{x^2/2} > \alpha\} \right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{\log \alpha}}, \quad \alpha > 2,$$

we get

$$\gamma_\infty \left(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \|\mathcal{H}_\bullet f(x)\|_{v(\varrho),[1,\infty)} > \alpha\} \right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{\log \alpha}} \|f\|_{L^1(\gamma_\infty)}, \quad \alpha > 2,$$

which yields the result.

The estimate

$$\gamma_\infty\left(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : e^{|x|^2/2} > \alpha\}\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha\sqrt{\log \alpha}}, \quad \alpha > 2,$$

is not true for $d > 1$. To prove the claimed bound we need to introduce a suitable system of polar coordinates adapted to the problem.

The case of small t

The study of the variation in $(0, 1]$ is more delicate and requires a further distinction between local and global parts of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup operator.

To do that we first split $\mathbb{R}^2$ into a local and a global region. This decomposition was introduced for $d = 1$ by B. Muckenhoupt in the 70's and for $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d > 1$ by P. Sjögren in the 80's.

The local region is the region where $|x - u| \lesssim 1/(1 + |x|)$. The point of this definition is that in the local region the density of the measure γ_∞ has the same order of magnitude at x and u .

The **local part** of the semigroup is then defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_t^{\text{loc}} f(x) = \int f(u) K_t(x, u) \eta((1 + |x|)|x - u|) d\gamma_\infty(u),$$

where η is a smooth nonnegative function on $\mathbb{R}_+$ which is 1 in $(0, 1/2]$ and 0 in $[1, \infty)$.

The **global part** $\mathcal{H}_t^{\text{glob}} = \mathcal{H}_t - \mathcal{H}_t^{\text{loc}}$ is given by a similar expression, with $\eta(\cdot)$ replaced by $1 - \eta(\cdot)$.

The global case for small t

The operator is

$$\mathcal{H}_t^{\text{glob}} f(x) = \int K_t(x, u) \left(1 - \eta((1 + |x|)|x - u|)\right) f(u) d\gamma_\infty(u).$$

Its kernel is supported where $|x - u| \gtrsim 1/(1 + |x|)$.

Proposition

For each $\varrho \geq 1$ the operator that maps $f \in L^1(\gamma_\infty)$ to the function $x \mapsto \|\mathcal{H}_\bullet^{\text{glob}} f(x)\|_{v(\varrho), (0,1]}$ satisfies

$$\gamma_\infty \left(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \|\mathcal{H}_\bullet^{\text{glob}} f(x)\|_{v(\varrho), (0,1]} > \alpha\} \right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^1(\gamma_\infty)}, \quad \alpha > 2.$$

Sketch of the proof:

As before we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathcal{H}_{\bullet}^{\text{glob}} f(x)\|_{v(\varrho), (0,1]} &\leq \int_0^1 \left| \partial_t \mathcal{H}_t^{\text{glob}} f(x) \right| dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 \left| \partial_t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_t(x, u) (1 - \eta) f(u) d\gamma_{\infty}(u) \right| dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{K}_t(x, u) (1 - \eta) f(u) d\gamma_{\infty}(u) \right| dt \\
 &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^1 |\dot{K}_t(x, u)| dt \right) (1 - \eta) |f(u)| d\gamma_{\infty}(u).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\dot{K}_t(x, u)$ has at most four zeros in $(0, 1)$.

Denote them by $t_1, \dots, t_{N-1}$ (N and t_i may depend on (x, u) and $N \leq 5$). Set also $t_0 = 0$ and $t_N = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |\dot{K}_t(x, u)| dt &= \sum_1^{N(x, u)} \int_{t_{i-1}(x, u)}^{t_i(x, u)} |\dot{K}_t(x, u)| dt \\ &= \sum_1^{N(x, u)} \left| \int_{t_{i-1}(x, u)}^{t_i(x, u)} \dot{K}_t(x, u) dt \right| \leq 10 \sup_{(0,1]} K_t(x, u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{\bullet}^{\text{glob}} f(x)\|_{v(\varrho), (0,1]} &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^1 |\dot{K}_t(x, u)| dt (1 - \eta) |f(u)| d\gamma_{\infty}(u) \\ &\leq 10 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sup_{(0,1]} K_t(x, u) (1 - \eta((1 + |x|)|x - u|)) |f(u)| d\gamma_{\infty}(u). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that for all (x, u)

$$\sup_{(0,1]} K_t(x, u) \left(1 - \eta((1 + |x|)|x - u|) \right) \lesssim e^{x^2/2} (1 + |x|).$$

This yields

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\bullet}^{\text{glob}} f(x)\|_{v(\varrho), (0,1]} \lesssim e^{x^2/2} (1 + |x|) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(u)| d\gamma_{\infty}(u),$$

which implies the result, because

$$\gamma_{\infty} \left(\left\{ x : e^{x^2/2} (1 + |x|) > \alpha \right\} \right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

Again, for $d > 1$ the estimate

$$\gamma_\infty \left(\left\{ x : e^{x^2/2} (1 + |x|) > \alpha \right\} \right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

is no longer true.

When $d > 1$, we again use that in the global region $\dot{K}_t(x, u)$ has a bounded number N , say, of zeros in $(0, 1]$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_t^{\text{glob}} f(x)\|_{v(\varrho), (0,1]} &\leq 2N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{t \in (0,1]} K_t(x, u) (1 - \eta(x, u)) |f(u)| d\gamma_\infty(u), \end{aligned}$$

and then that the maximal operator (with sup inside the integral)

$$Mf(x) = \int \sup_{t \in (0,1]} K_t(x, u) (1 - \eta(x, u)) |f(u)| d\gamma_\infty(u)$$

is of weak type $(1, 1)$ with respect to γ_∞ .

The local case for small t

The operator is

$$\mathcal{H}_t^{\text{loc}} f(x) = \int f(u) K_t(x, u) \eta((1 + |x|)|x - u|) d\gamma_\infty(u),$$

living where

$$|x - u| \leq 1/(1 + |x|).$$

Theorem

For each $\varrho > 2$ the operator mapping $f \in L^1(\gamma_\infty)$ to

$$x \mapsto \|\mathcal{H}_\bullet^{\text{loc}} f(x)\|_{v(\varrho), (0,1]},$$

is of weak type $(1, 1)$ with respect to γ_∞ .

Here $\varrho > 2$, in fact the estimate is false for $\varrho \leq 2$.

Splitting the line in local intervals

In this case $\mathcal{H}_t^{\text{loc}} f(x)$ depends only on the restriction of f to the interval $\{u : |u - x| \leq 1/(1 + |x|)\}$. We thus split the line into intervals of similar type, choosing an increasing sequence $\{x_j\}_0^\infty$ with $x_0 = 0$ and

$$x_{j+1} - \frac{1}{1 + x_{j+1}} = x_j + \frac{1}{1 + x_j}$$

for $j = 1, \dots$ ($x_j \approx 2\sqrt{j} - 1$) and setting $x_j = -x_{|j|}$ for $j < 0$.
Defining

$$\mathbb{I}_j = \left[x_j - \frac{1}{1 + |x_j|}, x_j + \frac{1}{1 + |x_j|} \right],$$

we get the decompositions: $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{I}_j$.

When $d > 1$, we have an analogous decomposition with the intervals replaced by rings.

Claim: If

$$\text{supp } f \subset \mathbb{I}_j = \left[x_j - \frac{1}{1 + |x_j|}, x_j + \frac{1}{1 + |x_j|} \right],$$

then

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{H}_t^{\text{loc}} f \subset \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_j = \left[x_j - \frac{4}{1 + |x_j|}, x_j + \frac{4}{1 + |x_j|} \right], \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since the intervals $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_j$ have the bounded overlapping property we may therefore assume that $\text{supp } f \subset \mathbb{I}_j$.

In each $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_j$ the density of γ_∞ is essentially constant,

$$e^{-x^2/2} \approx e^{-x_j^2/2} \quad \text{for } x \in \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_j,$$

and the implicit constants are uniform in j . We can therefore replace on each interval γ_∞ with the Lebesgue measure and apply vector-valued Calderón–Zygmund theory to prove that for each $\varrho > 2$ the operator mapping a function $f \in L^1(\gamma_\infty)$ supported in $\mathbb{I}_j$ to

$$x \mapsto \|\mathcal{H}_\bullet^{\text{loc}} f(x)\|_{v(\varrho), (0,1]},$$

is of weak type $(1, 1)$.

We call Θ the set of finite strictly increasing sequences $\underline{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{N(\underline{\varepsilon})})$ in $(0, 1]$ and F the space of functions on $\Theta \times \mathbb{N}$. Then we introduce the subspace F_ϱ of functions g for which

$$\|g\|_{F_\varrho} := \sup_{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \Theta} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N(\underline{\varepsilon})} |g(\underline{\varepsilon}, j)|^\varrho \right)^{1/\varrho} < \infty,$$

this is a norm and F_ϱ is a Banach space.

Given a function f on $\mathbb{R}$, we define $Vf : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F$ by

$$(Vf(\underline{\varepsilon}, j))(x) = \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon_j}^{\text{loc}} f(x) - \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon_{j-1}}^{\text{loc}} f(x), \quad j = 1, \dots, N(\underline{\varepsilon}).$$

Then

$$\|\mathcal{H}_\bullet^{\text{loc}} f(x)\|_{v(\varrho), (0,1]} = \|(Vf(\cdot, \cdot))(x)\|_{F_\varrho}.$$

Instead of proving that the operator mapping $f \in L^1(\gamma_\infty)$ to

$$x \mapsto \|\mathcal{H}_\bullet^{\text{loc}} f(x)\|_{v(\varrho), (0,1]}$$

is of weak type $(1, 1)$ with respect to γ_∞ , we can prove:

Theorem

For each $\varrho > 2$ the operator that maps $f \in L^1(\gamma_\infty)$ to

$$x \mapsto \|Vf(x)\|_{F_\varrho}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is of weak type $(1, 1)$ with respect to γ_∞ .

To apply Calderón–Zygmund theory we have to pass to the Lebesgue measure, hence, we define

$$\tilde{V}g(x) = e^{-x^2/2} V(g(\cdot)e^{x^2/2})(x).$$

If the operator that maps $g \in L^1(du)$ to

$$x \mapsto \|\tilde{V}g(x)\|_{F_\varrho}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is of weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure



The operator that maps $f \in L^1(\gamma_\infty)$ to

$$x \mapsto \|Vf(x)\|_{F_\varrho}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is of weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to γ_∞ .

To prove that $\tilde{V}$ is of weak type $(1, 1)$ we express it as an integral operator

$$\tilde{V}g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{M}(x, u)g(u)du,$$

where $\tilde{M}(x, u) \in F$. For $x \notin \text{supp}g$ this is a Bochner integral in F_ϱ and $\tilde{V}g(x) \in F_\varrho$.

Since the variation operator for $\mathcal{H}_t^{\text{loc}}$ is bounded on $L^2(\gamma_\infty)$ for $\varrho > 2$ (Le Merdy-Xu), the operator

$$g \mapsto \|\tilde{V}g(\cdot)\|_{F_\varrho}$$

is bounded on $L^2(dx)$.

It suffices therefore to show that $\tilde{M}$ is a standard kernel:

(a)

$$\|\tilde{M}(x, u)\|_{F_\varrho} \lesssim \frac{1}{|x - u|}, \quad x \neq u,$$

(b) if moreover $|x - u| > 2|u - u'|$, then

$$\|\tilde{M}(x, u) - \tilde{M}(x, u')\|_{F_\varrho} \lesssim \frac{|u - u'|}{|x - u|^2}.$$

From these bounds and the boundedness of $g \mapsto \|\tilde{V}g(\cdot)\|_{F_\varrho}$ on $L^2(dx)$ we finally obtain the required weak type $(1, 1)$ estimate, concluding the proof.